

Differences in antibiotic resistance profiles of methicillin-susceptible and-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from the teaching hospital in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Asif Sukri¹, Muhammad Nur Farhan Saat², Nor Afnizan Mohd Yusof³, Noraziah Mohamad Zin^{1*}, Abdul Rahim Abdul Rachman^{4*}

¹Center for Diagnostic, Therapeutic and Investigative Studies, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 50300 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. ²Herbal Medicine Research Centre, Institute for Medical Research, National Institute of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Shah Alam 40170, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia.

³Bacteriology Unit, Department of Diagnostic Laboratory Services, Hospital Canselor Tuanku Muhriz, 56000 Cheras, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. ⁴Faculty of Arts and Science, International University Malaya-Wales, Jalan Tun Ismail, 50480 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received on: February 11, 2021 Accepted on: April 16, 2021 Available online: July 10, 2021

Key words:

Antibiotic resistance genes, Antibiotic resistance pattern, Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*, Multidrug resistance, Staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec*.

1. INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus is a common commensal found on the skin and human nasal cavity, with approximately 20–40% of the human population are *S. aureus* carriers that usually cause skin and soft-tissue infection [1]. Systemic infection of *S. aureus* leads to bacteremia, toxic shock syndrome, osteomyelitis, and endocarditis [2]. *S. aureus* secretes multiple toxins to evade the robust host's immune system [3], and its ability to form biofilms on inserted devices such as catheter contributes to invasive infection [4]. The World Health Organization (WHO) has declared methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) a high priority in research and discovering novel antibiotics [5]. The prevalence of MRSA is the highest in the continents of Asia and America, although a decline has been observed throughout the years in some countries such as the USA and Taiwan [1]. MRSA infection contributes to approximately 20 000 deaths in the USA alone in 2017 [6]. Nationwide study in Malaysia revealed that MRSA infection prevalence has increased from 18% in 2016 to 19.8% in 2017, with most isolates were obtained from medical settings [7]. MRSA resistance to β -lactam antibiotics can be attributed to staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec), a mobile genetic element carries mec gene complex, ccr complex, and J regions that can be transferred horizontally from MRSA to methicillin-susceptible S. aureus (MSSA) [8]. Transfer of SCCmec from MRSA to MSSA poses a severe threat to the health-care setting, including an MRSA outbreak in health-care settings [9]. MRSA harboring mecA encodes penicillinbinding protein 2a (PBP2a) with a low affinity toward penicillin and other β -lactam antibiotics that lead to inactivation of this class of antibiotics [10]. To date, researchers have characterized thirteen SCCmec types to serve as predictive virulence markers for *S. aureus* [11].

© 2021 Sukri, *et al*. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License -NonCommercial-ShareAlike Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

ABSTRACT

In general, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is more resistant to multiple antibiotics than methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA). However, some studies have been inconclusive on antibiotic resistance patterns in MSSA and MRSA. This study's objectives were to compare antibiotic resistance patterns of MSSA and MRSA, and to type staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*) in MRSA isolates. *S. aureus* (MRSA=50; MSSA=50) isolates were collected from the primary teaching hospital in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Antibiotic susceptibility test and amplification of SCC*mec* elements and antibiotic resistance genes were conducted. MRSA had significantly higher resistance to clindamycin, erythromycin, and ciprofloxacin (P < 0.001) than MSSA, while MSSA had higher resistance to tetracycline than MRSA (P < 0.05). Resistance to gentamicin, fusidic acid, rifampicin, and mupirocin was low in both groups. Multidrug resistance was observed in 14% of MSSA and 66% of MRSA. Remarkably, SCC*mec* type IV was dominant in MRSA (96%) isolated from this study. All MSSA (5/5) and 27/31 of MRSA harbored *ermC*, respectively. *ermA* and *AacA-D* were not observed in both groups. One MSSA isolate harbored *tetK*, while *tetM* was not detected. This study reveals differences in the antibiotic resistance pattern of MSSA and MRSA. The emergence of multidrug resistance in MSSA warrants further surveillance.

^{*}Corresponding Author

Noraziah Mohamad Zin, Center for Diagnostic, Therapeutic and Investigative Studies, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 50300 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. E-mail: noraziah.zin @ ukm.edu.my Abdul Rahim Abdul Rahman, Faculty of Arts and Science, International University Malaya-Wales, Jalan Tun Ismail, 50480 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Email: abd.rahim @ iumw.edu.my

Further, the rise of community-associated MRSA (CA-MRSA) has complicated MRSA transmission prevention as people with no known records of hospitalization are also predisposed to MRSA infection [12]. CA-MRSA is different from hospital-acquired MRSA (HA-MRSA) as it usually causes skin and soft tissue infection, while HA-MRSA infection is usually invasive and results in prolonged hospitalization [13]. MRSA isolated from Malaysian health-care settings usually harbors SCCmec type III, while isolates acquired from the community usually harbor SCCmec type IV or V [14]. The latest national report on MSSA and MRSA's resistance rates against antimicrobial agents in Malaysian health-care settings showed marked differences between them. MRSA exhibited higher resistance toward essential antibiotics for S. aureus treatments (erythromycin and gentamicin) compared to MSSA [7]. A recent study conducted in the Malaysian health-care setting showed the emergence of multidrug resistance MSSA [15]. The presence of resistance genes mediated by chromosome, transposon, or plasmid confers antibiotic resistance in S. aureus. Resistance to essential antibiotics, namely, erythromycin, tetracycline, and gentamicin, can be attributed to multiple variants of resistance genes, including erm, tet, and aminoglycoside modifying enzyme (AacA-D), respectively [16]. Although many studies have been conducted for MRSA in Malaysia, research on MSSA still lacks differences in antibiotic profiling and their resistance mechanisms. There is still a lack of studies to compare genotypes and phenotypes of antibiotic resistance in MSSA and MRSA. As the resistance mechanism in S. aureus is continuously evolving [1], understanding its mechanism is pertinent. Hence, this study aimed to compare current

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Sample Collection of S. aureus

of MRSA isolates from our teaching hospital.

From July to October 2018, 50 MRSA and 50 MSSA isolates were obtained from Bacteriology Unit, Department of Diagnostic Laboratory Service, Hospital Canselor Tuanku Muhriz, Kuala Lumpur. All isolates were recultured onto Mueller-Hinton Agar (MHA) (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hants, UK) for antibiotic susceptibility test. MRSA isolates were identified using a cefoxitin disc (FOX) screen test.

antibiotic resistance patterns in MSSA and MRSA and type SCCmec

2.2. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

All *S. aureus* isolates were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility by disk-diffusion method on MHA agar plates following Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute guidelines [17]. The antibiotics tested: Ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), erythromycin (15 μ g), fusidic acid (10 μ g), gentamicin (10 μ g), cefoxitin (30 μ g), penicillin (10 U), clindamycin (2 μ g), mupirocin (5 μ g), teicoplanin (30 μ g), rifampicin (5 μ g), tetracycline (30 μ g), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1.25 μ g), and linezolid (30 μ g).

2.3. Genomic DNA Extraction of Bacteria

DNA extraction was conducted using a boiling method [18]. Briefly, several overnight culture colonies on Mannitol salt agar (MSA) plates were suspended into 100 μ L distilled water, boiled in a water bath at 95°C for 10 min, and immediately placed in ice for 5 min. Next, the suspension was centrifuged at ×10,000 g for 3 min. The supernatant was transferred into a fresh microcentrifuge tube. DNA concentration and purity were assessed by using a NanoDropTM 2000 spectrophotometer (Life Technologies, Singapore), followed by storage at -20°C until use.

2.4. Identification of *S. aureus* isolates through Culture and 16S rRNA Sequencing

S. aureus isolates were identified by using coagulase, catalase tests, MSA agar, and 16S rRNA sequencing. These isolates were further inoculated onto MSA (Oxoid) and incubated at 37°C overnight. To verify S. aureus isolates, nine isolates from 100 isolates collected in this study were randomly selected and subjected to 16S rRNA gene amplification through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using universal primers of 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492R (5'-TACGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3'). The final concentrations of primers and DNA were 0.4 µM (2 µL) and 50 ng (5 µL), respectively. Primers and DNA were added to ExTen mastermix (1st Base Asia, Singapore Science Park II, Singapore) for a final volume of 50 µL. PCR conditions were 94°C (initial denaturation) for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C (1 min), 45°C (1 min), and 72°C (1 min), and final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. All PCR products were run on 1.0% agarose gel to view the amplified DNA band and then sent for sequencing to 1st Base Asia. Species identification was made by comparing 16S rRNA sequences with sequences from the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool database (National Centre of Biotechnology Information). All isolates were confirmed as S. aureus through molecular detection (sequence similarity more than 99%).

2.5. Screening of mecA gene in MRSA

Amplification of *mecA* gene was conducted via PCR. Primers used for amplification were MecA1 (5'-GTA GAA ATG ATG ACC GAA CGT CCG ATA A-3') and MecA2 (5'- CCA ATT CCA CAT TGT TTC GGT CTA A-3') [19]. The PCR reaction was performed in 25 μ L reaction consisted of 2X ExTEN mastermix (1st Base), 0.5 μ M primers, 50 ng DNA template, and distilled water. PCR conditions were (1) initial denaturation (94°C for 4 min), (2) 30 cycles of denaturation (94°C for 45 s), (3) annealing (50°C for 45 s), and (4) extension (72°C for 60 s). The final extension was set at 72°C for 2 min. PCR products were electrophoresed on 1.0% agarose gel (Vivantis Technologies, Subang Jaya, Malaysia) and viewed under UV light for DNA band detection (Aplegen, California, USA). All MRSA isolates (100%; 50/50) harbored *mecA* in this study.

2.6. Multiplex PCR of *ermA*, *ermC*, *tetK*, *tetM*, and *aacA-D* Genes

S. aureus isolates that showed phenotypic resistance toward erythromycin, tetracycline, and gentamicin were selected for molecular analysis using multiplex and singleplex PCR as described previously [20]. PCR mixture consisted of 12.5µL exTEN 2X Master Mix (1st Base Asia), 0.5 µM primers (1.25 µL), 7.5 µL DNase-free water, and 50 ng DNA template (2.5 µL). The amplification process was performed using Bio-Rad T100[™] thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, California, USA). PCR conditions for ermA, ermC, tetK, and aacA-D detection were 5 min of initial denaturation at 94°C, followed by 25 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 60 s, annealing at 55°C for 70 s, and extension at 72°C for 60 s. The final extension was set at 72°C for 10 min. For detection of tetM, PCR conditions were 6 min of initial denaturation at 94°C, followed by 34 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 50 s, annealing at 55°C for 70 s, and extension at 72°C for 60 s. The final extension was set at 72°C for 8 min. The PCR products were analyzed on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel (Vivantis Technologies). The gel was visualized under UV light of Omega Fluor Gel Documentation System (Aplegen).

2.7. SCCmec Typing Structure using Multiplex PCR

All MRSA isolates were subjected to SCCmec typing using multiplex PCR as previously described [21-26]. Briefly, nine set of primers were used to amplify SCCmec types I to V and the PCR reaction was conducted in a 25 µL reaction that consisted of 2X exTEN PCR mastermix (1st Base Asia), nuclease-free water (Promega, Wisconsin, USA), 50 ng DNA template, and 0.5µM of primers. PCR conditions: (1) Initial denaturation at 95°C (15 min), (2) denaturation at 95°C for 30 s (30 cycles), and (3) annealing at 57°C for 90 s, and extension at 72°C for 90 s. The final extension was set at 72°C for 10 min. SCCmec typing was based on DNA band sizes, and isolates that gave no DNA bands were further examined by using method previously described by Ito et al. [27]. Briefly, eight primers were used to target ccr gene complex and four primers were used to target mec gene complex. The PCR reactions for amplification of ccr gene complex and mec gene complex were performed in 25 µL reaction consisted of 2X ExTEN mastermix (1st Base Asia), 50 ng of DNA, nuclease-free water, and 0.5 µM primers. PCR conditions for amplification of ccr gene complex were initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, annealing at 57°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 2 min. The final extension was set at 72°C for 2 min. For amplification of mec gene complex, the PCR conditions were initial denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 50°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 2 min. The final extension was 72°C for 2 min. The PCR products were analyzed and visualized using 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel (Vivantis Technologies) and Omega Fluor Gel Documentation System (Aplegen), respectively.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Comparison of antibiotic resistance profiling in MSSA and MRSA was analyzed using a two-tailed Fisher's exact test. P < 0.05 was considered significant. All analyses were conducted using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 14.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test

MSSA isolates demonstrated the highest resistance to penicillin (84%; n = 42), followed by fusidic acid (24%; n = 12), tetracycline and ciprofloxacin with similar percentage (14%; n = 7), erythromycin (10%; n = 5), clindamycin (4%; n = 2), and gentamicin and rifampicin with similar percentage (2%; n = 1), respectively. No MSSA isolate was resistant to cefoxitin, mupirocin, teicoplanin, and co-trimoxazole [Table 1]. MRSA isolates demonstrated resistance to nine antibiotics which included penicillin (100%; n = 50), cefoxitin/methicillin (100%; n = 50), erythromycin (60%; n = 30), ciprofloxacin (56%; n = 28), clindamycin (30%; n = 15), and fusidic acid (10%; n = 5). A similar percentage of resistant isolates (8%; n = 4) were observed for clindamycin, rifampicin, and mupirocin, respectively. Similar to MSSA isolates, no MRSA isolates demonstrated resistance to teicoplanin and co-trimoxazole. Interestingly, all MRSA isolates were susceptible to tetracycline. Comparison of antibiotic resistance profiles between MSSA and MRSA isolates revealed that MRSA had significantly higher resistance to erythromycin (P < 0.0001), clindamycin (P = 0.0009), and ciprofloxacin (P < 0.0001) than MSSA. Meanwhile, MSSA had a significantly higher percentage of resistance to tetracycline than MRSA isolates (P = 0.0125). S. aureus isolates with resistance to at least one antimicrobial agent in at least three antimicrobial classes were previously defined as multidrug-resistant [28]. By following

Table 1: Comparison of antibiotic resistance test in MSSA (n=50) and MRSA (n=50) isolates.

Antibiotics	MSSA (%)	MRSA (%)	<i>P</i> -value
Penicillin	42 (84)	50 (100)	0.0058
Methicillin	0 (0)	50 (100)	-
Erythromycin	5 (10)	30 (60)	< 0.0001
Clindamycin	2 (4)	15 (30)	0.0009
Gentamicin	1 (2)	4 (8)	0.3622
Tetracycline	7 (14)	0 (0)	0.0125
Fusidic acid	12 (24)	5 (10)	0.1084
Rifampicin	1 (2)	4 (8)	0.3622
Ciprofloxacin	7 (14)	28 (56)	< 0.0001
Mupirocin	0 (0)	4 (8)	0.1175
Teicoplanin	0 (0)	0 (0)	-
Co-trimoxazole	0 (0)	0 (0)	-

Data were analyzed using Fisher's exact test and P<0.05 was considered as significant

this guideline, 14% (7/50) of MSSA isolates were multidrug-resistant. Table 2 shows five MSSA isolates (MS26, MS32, MS44, MS47, and MS49) were resistant to three antimicrobial categories, while two strains were resistant to four antimicrobial categories (MS30 and MS48). Meanwhile, 66% (33/50) of MRSA were multidrug-resistant. Of which, three (MR8, MR44, and MR46) were resistant to four antimicrobial categories.

3.2. Detection of *ermA*, *ermC*, *tetK*, *tetM*, and *aacA-D* in MSSA and MRSA Isolates

MSSA and MRSA isolates that showed resistance to erythromycin, gentamicin, and tetracycline, respectively, at the phenotypic level, were selected to detect *ermA*, *ermC*, *tetK*, *tetM*, and *aacA-D* genes. We observed the presence of *ermC* (299 base pair) in all five MSSA isolates that showed resistance to erythromycin at the phenotypic level. However, none of them harbored *ermA* gene. A similar result was observed in MRSA isolates, in which 27 out of 31 isolates harbored *ermC* while no isolate harbored *ermA* [Table 3]. Among seven MSSA isolates resistant to tetracycline at phenotypic level, only one isolate harbored *tetK* (190 base pair). As expected, no tetracycline resistance gene was detected in MRSA isolates. Resistance gene to aminoglycoside (*aacA-D*) was not detected in all MSSA, and MRSA examined.

3.3. SCCmec Typing in MRSA Isolates

SCC*mec* typing in MRSA isolates revealed 48 strains harbored SCC*mec* type IV and two strains harbored SCC*mec* type II [Figure 1]. Interestingly, MRSA strains with SCC*mec* type II (MR34 and MR45) were multidrug-resistant to four antimicrobial classes. SCC*mec* types I, III, and V were not detected among MRSA examined.

4. DISCUSSION

Data on the comparison of antibiotic resistance profiling among MSSA and MRSA isolates from health-care centers in Malaysia are lacking. Hence, we conducted this study to profile antibiotic resistance susceptibility of MSSA and MRSA and type SCCmec elements in MRSA isolates. No MRSA and MSSA isolates were resistant to vancomycin in this study, consistent with the previous studies in Malaysia [29-31]. Resistance to penicillin was the highest

Table 2: Multidrug resistance analysis of MSSA (n=50) and MRSA (n=50) isolates as determined by antimicrobial susceptibility test.

Antibiotics resistance	Total resistance isolates (n
MSSA (<i>n</i> =50)	
No drug resistance	3
PEN	23
TETRA	1
FA	1
ERY	1
PEN, TETRA	3
PEN, FA	7
PEN, CIP	2
ERY, FA	1
FA, CIP	1
PEN, GEN, RIF	1
PEN, TETRA, FA	1
PEN, ERY, CLIN	1
PEN, TETRA, CIP	2
PEN, ERY, CLIN, CIP	1
PEN, ERY, FA, CIP	1
MRSA (N=50)	
PEN, FOX	1
PEN, FOX, CIP	9
PEN, FOX, ERY	6
PEN, FOX, FA	1
PEN, FOX, GEN, CIP	1
PEN, FOX, RIF, CIP	4
PEN, FOX, ERY, MUP	4
PEN, FOX, ERY, CLIN	6
PEN, FOX, ERY, CIP	6
PEN, FOX, GEN, FA	1
PEN, FOX, CLIN, FA	1
PEN, FOX, ERY, CLIN, CIP	7
PEN, FOX, GEN, FA, CIP	1
PEN, FOX, ERY, CLIN, FA	1
PEN, FOX, ERY, CLIN, GEN	1
DENT D THE DOM OF THE ATTEMPT	

PEN: Penicillin, FOX: Cefoxitin/methicillin, FA: Fusidic acid, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, GEN: Gentamicin, CLIN: Clindamycin, ERY: Erythromycin, RIF: Rifampicin, MUP: Mupirocin, MRSA: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, MSSA: Methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*

in both MSSA and MRSA groups, consistent with findings published previously from a large scale study of antibiotic resistance profiling among *S. aureus* isolates in Malaysia [7]. All MRSA strains were resistant to penicillin, indicating that they all express PBP2a protein that confers resistance to β -lactam antibiotics. Similar to previously published data, high resistance of MRSA strains to erythromycin was observed [32]. Consistent with the previous report, a low resistance rate to gentamicin and fusidic acid was observed among MSSA and MRSA isolates [7]. The resistance of *S. aureus* to gentamicin has been observed to decline throughout the years in Malaysian healthcare settings, suggesting the efficacy of antimicrobial stewardship in Malaysia [14]. We found that all MRSA strains were sensitive to tetracycline. Data on the prevalence of tetracycline resistance among
 Table 3: Comparison between antibiotic resistance at phenotypic and genotypic levels in MSSA and MRSA strains.

Resistance genes	Number of isolates with detected genes (n per total)	Isolates no.
MSSA		
ermA	0/5	-
ermC	5/5	MS23, MS24, MS30, MS44, MS48
tetK	1/7	MS50
tetM	0/7	-
aacA-D	0/1	-
MRSA		
ermA	0/31	-
ermC	27/31	MR14, MR20, MR25, MR33, MR36, MR7, MR23, MR35, MR9, MR40, MR41, MR42, MR43, MR50, MR1, MR3, MR13, MR18, MR37, MR39, MR44, MR46, MR12, MR15, MR19, MR24, MR26
aacA-D	0/1	-

MS denotes the given strain name to methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* and MR denotes given strain name to methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*. MRSA: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, MSSA: Methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*



Figure 1: Polymerase chain reaction products of SCCmec II and SCCmec IV on 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis. The size of a specific gene for SCCmec II was 287 bp. The size of SCCmec IV was 776 bp. Lane M: 1kb DNA ladder, Lanes 1-7: Representative Staphylococcus aureus isolates.

MRSA isolates in Malaysia are lacking. The latest national report on antimicrobial resistance of *S. aureus* did not include findings on tetracycline [7]. However, a recent study conducted in East Coast Malaysia showed that tetracycline resistance among MRSA isolates was low at 7.6% [15]. This finding suggests the use of tetracycline as treatment of MRSA in our health-care setting. More studies on the susceptibility of MRSA strains to tetracycline should also be conducted in the future. Consistent with the previous findings [15], a high percentage of MRSA (66%) with multidrug resistance was observed in this study. Interestingly, 14% of MSSA isolates were multidrug-resistant, suggesting further surveillance of MSSA isolates in our health-care setting. The majority of MRSA harbored SCCmec type IV in our clinical setting. A previous study on SCCmec typing in MRSA collected from our healthcare setting revealed a high prevalence of MRSA harbored SCCmec type III [29]. This finding suggests that MRSA with SCCmec type IV is replacing MRSA with SCCmec type III in our setting. SCCmec types I to III are mostly associated with HA-MRSA while types IV and V are mostly associated with CA-MRSA in our country [14]. Our findings indicate that MRSA with SCCmec type IV isolated from this study might belong to the CA-MRSA type. Further study to characterize clonal type, virulence factors, and SCCmec elements of MRSA isolates in our setting should be conducted.

This study employed the detection of resistance genes in erythromycin, tetracycline, and gentamicin as National Antibiotic Guideline recommends these antibiotics for the treatment of MRSA infection [33]. Antibiotic resistance gene toward erythromycin (ermC) was detected in all MSSA strains that showed resistance to erythromycin at the phenotypic level. The majority of MRSA strains from this study harbored ermC instead of ermA. This result is contrary to what was reported previously from another study that also investigated MRSA strains isolated from Kuala Lumpur, in which ermA was predominant [34]. However, there were MRSA isolates with a lack of ermA or ermC genes from our study. Lack of ermA or ermC in MRSA isolates with phenotypic resistance to erythromycin suggests that these isolates may harbor other variants of erm gene. We chose to amplify tetK and tetM variants of tetracycline-resistant S. aureus isolated from this study because a previous study conducted in Malaysia revealed all tetracycline-resistant S. aureus harbored either tetK or tetM [34]. Investigation on tetracycline resistance gene among MSSA isolates revealed that only one out of seven MSSA isolates that showed resistance to tetracycline at phenotypic level harbored tetK at a genotypic level while tetM was not detected. tetK is a plasmid-mediated resistance gene involved in efflux pump protein, while tetM resistance is transposon-mediated resistance gene involved in the protection of ribosomal translation [16]. Other variant genes apart from tetK or tetM might be present in S. aureus strains isolated from our hospital. Besides, other molecular mechanisms not involving tet gene presence may play a role in conferring tetracycline resistance in S. aureus isolated from our setting. Data on tetracycline resistance among S. aureus in Malaysia is limited. To the best of our knowledge, no report of tetracycline antibiotic resistance gene among MSSA isolates has been made in Malaysia.

Further studies to profile tetracycline resistance among *S. aureus* isolates in Malaysian healthcare settings should be conducted. This study also revealed no detection of *AacA-D* gene in gentamicinresistant MRSA and MSSA. No study has reported on the prevalence of *AacA-D* gene in *S. aureus* isolates collected from Malaysia. Resistance to aminoglycosides can occur through expression of resistance genes mediated by a plasmid, transposon, or SCC*mec* elements [35]. *AacA-D* gene is mediated by transposon and usually confers resistance of *S. aureus* against gentamicin based on studies conducted previously in other regions [36,37]. Lack of *AacA-D* gene in gentamicin-resistant *S. aureus* isolates from our study suggests the presence of other resistance genes or resistance mechanisms in *S. aureus* isolates from our healthcare setting.

5. CONCLUSION

We have compared the current distribution of antibiotic resistance patterns among MSSA, and MRSA isolates from our teaching hospital, emphasizing the relatedness of phenotypic and genotypic antibiotic resistance profiles. The emergence of multidrug resistance in MSSA warrants further surveillance. This study also revealed that MRSA harboring SCC*mec* type IV is currently predominant in our teaching hospital, indicating the current dominance of CA-MRSA in our setting. Future in-depth studies on *S. aureus*, particularly MSSA isolates from our population using other molecular techniques are warranted.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia under grant no. MI-2018-004 and GUP-2018-119.

7. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; took part in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; agreed to submit to the current journal; gave final approval of the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All the authors are eligible to be an author as per the international committee of medical journal editors (ICMJE) requirements/guidelines.

8. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors report no financial or any other conflicts of interest in this work.

9. ETHICAL APPROVALS

Not applicable.

10. PUBLISHER'S NOTE

This journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

REFERENCES

- Lee AS, de Lencastre H, Garau J, Kluytmans J, Malhotra-Kumar S, Peschel A, *et al.* Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2018;4:18033.
- Tong SY, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG Jr. Staphylococcus aureus infections: Epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clin Microbiol Rev 2015;28:603-61.
- Kong C, Neoh HM, Nathan S. Targeting *Staphylococcus aureus* toxins: A potential form of anti-virulence therapy. Toxins (Basel) 2016;8:E72.
- Li Y, Cao X, Ge H, Jiang Y, Zhou H, Zheng W. Targeted surveillance of nosocomial infection in intensive care units of 176 hospitals in Jiangsu province, China. J Hosp Infect 2018;99:36-41.
- Tacconelli E, Carrara E, Savoldi A, Harbarth S, Mendelson M, Monnet DL, *et al.* Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: The WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. Lancet Infect Dis 2018;18:318-27.
- Kourtis AP, Hatfield K, Baggs J, Mu Y, See I, Epson E, *et al.* Vital signs: Epidemiology and recent trends in methicillin-resistant and in methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infections - United States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2019;68:214-9.
- Institute for Medical Research, Malaysian National Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance (NSAR) Report 2017 Kuala Lumpur. Available from: https://www.imr.gov.my/images/uploads/NSAR/ NSAR_2017/NSAR_report_2017-edited-31.1.2019.pdf. [Last

accessed on 2011 Feb 09].

- Liu J, Chen D, Peters BM, Li L, Li B, Xu Z, *et al.* Staphylococcal chromosomal cassettes mec (SCCmec): A mobile genetic element in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Microb Pathog 2016;101:56-67.
- Weterings V, Bosch T, Witteveen S, Landman F, Schouls L, Kluytmans J. Next-generation sequence analysis reveals transfer of methicillin resistance to a methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* strain that subsequently caused a methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* outbreak: A descriptive study. J Clin Microbiol 2017;55:2808-16.
- Lim D, Strynadka NC. Structural basis for the beta lactam resistance of PBP2a from methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2002;9:870-6.
- Baig S, Johannesen TB, Overballe-Petersen S, Larsen J, Larsen AR, Stegger M. Novel SCCmec type XIII (9A) identified in an ST152 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Infect Genet Evol 2018;61:74-6.
- 12. Dukic VM, Lauderdale DS, Wilder J, Daum RS, David MZ. Epidemics of community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in the United States: A meta-analysis. PLos One 2013;8:e52722.
- Paiva JA, Eggimann P. Treatment of severe MRSA infections: Current practice and further development. Intensive Care Med 2017;43:233-6.
- Che Hamzah AM, Yeo CC, Puah SM, Chua KH, Chew CH. Staphylococcus aureus infections in Malaysia: A review of antimicrobial resistance and characteristics of the clinical isolates, 1990-2017. Antibiotics (Basel) 2019;8:128.
- Che Hamzah AM, Yeo CC, Puah SM, Chua KH, A Rahman NI, Abdullah FH, *et al.* Tigecycline and inducible clindamycin resistance in clinical isolates of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from Terengganu, Malaysia. J Med Microbiol 2019;68:1299-305.
- Foster TJ. Antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Current status and future prospects. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2017;41:430-49.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. CLSI document M100. 28th ed. Pennsylvania, USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018.
- Riyaz-Ul-Hassan S, Verma V, Qazi GN. Evaluation of three different molecular markers for the detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* by polymerase chain reaction. Food Microbiol 2008;25:452-9.
- Jonas D, Grundmann H, Hartung D, Daschner FD, Towner KJ. Evaluation of the mecA femB duplex polymerase chain reaction for detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1999;18:643-7.
- Safarpoor Dehkordi F, Gandomi H, Basti AA, Misaghi A, Rahimi E. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of antibiotic resistance of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from hospital food. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2017;6:104.
- Ghaznavi-Rad E, Nor Shamsudin M, Sekawi Z, van Belkum A, Neela V. A simplified multiplex PCR assay for fast and easy discrimination of globally distributed staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec types in meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Med Microbiol 2010;59:1135-9.
- Kondo Y, Ito T, Ma XX, Watanabe S, Kreiswirth BN, Etienne J, et al. Combination of multiplex PCRs for staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec type assignment: Rapid identification system for mec, ccr, and major differences in junkyard regions. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007;51:264-74.
- Ma XX, Galiana A, Pedreira W, Mowszowicz M, Christophersen I, Machiavello S, *et al.* Community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Uruguay. Emerg Infect Dis 2005;11:973-6.
- Milheiriço C, Oliveira DC, de Lencastre H. Multiplex PCR strategy for subtyping the staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec type IV in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: 'SCCmec IV

multiplex'. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007;60:42-8.

- Okuma K, Iwakawa K, Turnidge JD, Grubb WB, Bell JM, O'Brien FG, *et al.* Dissemination of new methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones in the community. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:4289-94.
- Zhang K, McClure JA, Elsayed S, Louie T, Conly JM. Novel multiplex PCR assay for characterization and concomitant subtyping of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mee types I to V in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:5026-33.
- Ito T, Kuwahara-Arai K, Katayama Y, Uehara Y, Han X, Kondo Y, et al. Staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec) analysis of MRSA. Methods Mol Biol 2014;1085:131-48.
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, *et al.* Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: An international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18:268-81.
- Noordin A, Sapri HF, Mohamad Sani NA, Leong SK, Tan XE, Tan TL, Zin NM, Neoh H-M, Hussin S. Antimicrobial resistance profiling and molecular typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from a Malaysian teaching hospital. J Med Microbiol 2016;65:1476-81.
- Sapri HF, Sani NA, Neoh HM, Hussin S. Epidemiological study on Staphylococcus aureus isolates reveals inverse relationship between antibiotic resistance and virulence repertoire. Indian J Microbiol 2013;53:321-2.
- 31. Sit PS, Teh CS, Idris N, Sam IC, Syed Omar SF, Sulaiman H, et al. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infection and the molecular characteristics of MRSA bacteraemia over a two-year period in a tertiary teaching hospital in Malaysia. BMC Infect Dis 2017;17:274.
- Lim KT, Hanifah YA, Mohd Yusof MY, Ito T, Thong KL. Comparison of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated in 2003 and 2008 with an emergence of multidrug resistant ST22: SCCmec IV clone in a tertiary hospital, Malaysia. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2013;46:224-33.
- Ministry of Health Malaysia. National Antibiotic Guideline. Available from: https://www.pharmacy.gov.my/v2/sites/default/ files/document-upload/national-antimicrobial-guideline-2019-fullversion-3rd-edition 0.pdf. [Last accessed on 2020 Mar 24].
- Lim KT, Hanifah YA, Yusof M, Thong KL. ermA, ermC, tetM and tetK are essential for erythromycin and tetracycline resistance among methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from a tertiary hospital in Malaysia. Indian J Med Microbiol 2012;30:203-7.
- Turner NA, Sharma-Kuinkel BK, Maskarinec SA, Eichenberger EM, Shah PP, Carugati M, *et al.* Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: An overview of basic and clinical research. Nat Rev Microbiol 2019;17:203-18.
- Abdolmaleki Z, Mashak Z, Safarpoor Dehkordi F. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of antibiotic resistance in the methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from hospital cockroaches. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2019;8:54.
- 37. Rahi A, Kazemeini H, Jafariaskari S, Seif A, Hosseini S, Safarpoor Dehkordi F. Genotypic and phenotypic-based assessment of antibiotic resistance and profile of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec in the methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* recovered from raw milk. Infect Drug Resist 2020;13:273-83.

How to cite this article:

Sukri A, Saat MNF, Yusof NAM, Zin NM, Rachman ARA. Differences in antibiotic resistance profiles of methicillin-susceptible and-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from the teaching hospital in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. J App Biol Biotech. 2021;9(4):98-103. DOI: 10.7324/JABB.2021.9413